IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE WESTERN DIVISION

FILED BY \_\_\_\_\_ D.C.
05 AUG 15 AM 11: 31

THOMAS M. GOULD CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT WID OF THE MEMPHIS

JOHNNY L. TRIPLETT,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 05-2432-B/V

GEORGE M. LITTLE, et al.

Defendants.

ORDER DIRECTING THE PLAINTIFF TO FILE A
PROPERLY COMPLETED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AFFIDAVIT
OR
PAY THE CIVIL FILING FEE

Plaintiff Johnny L. Triplett filed a <u>pro se</u> complaint pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et <u>seq.</u>, on June 15, 2005, along with a motion seeking leave to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u>.

Federal law provides that the "clerk of each district court shall require the parties instituting any civil action, suit or proceeding in such court, whether by original process, removal or otherwise, to pay a filing fee of" \$250. 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a).¹ To ensure access to the courts, however, 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) permits an indigent plaintiff to avoid payment of filing fees by filing an in forma pauperis affidavit. Under that section, the Court must conduct a satisfactory inquiry into the plaintiff's

Effective March 7, 2005, the civil filing fee was increased to \$250.

ability to pay the filing fee and prosecute the lawsuit. A plaintiff seeking in forma pauperis standing must respond fully to the questions on the Court's in forma pauperis form and execute the affidavit in compliance with the certification requirements contained in 28 U.S.C. § 1746. See, e.g., Flippin v. Coburn, 107 Fed. Appx. 520 (6th Cir. Aug. 13, 2004); Reynolds v. Federal Bur. of Prisons, 30 Fed. Appx. 574 (6th Cir. Mar. 11, 2002); Broque v. Fort Knox Fed. Credit Union, No. 86-1896, 1997 WL 242043 (6th Cir. May 8, 1997).

In this case, the plaintiff's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis does not adequately document the plaintiff's sources of income, assets, and monthly expenses. Although the complaint indicates that the plaintiff was terminated from his employment with the Shelby County Division of Corrections in October, 2004, the in forma pauperis affidavit states that the plaintiff is currently employed at that location. Moreover, the in forma pauperis affidavit states that the plaintiff owns no real property, yet he lists a mortgage payment on his list of expenses. The information provided by the plaintiff is, therefore, insufficient to permit the Court to conclude that he is indigent and unable to pay the filing fee or to give security therefor.

Accordingly, the plaintiff is ORDERED, within thirty (30) days of the date of entry of this order, either to pay the \$250 civil filing fee or to file a properly completed <u>in forma pauperis</u> affidavit that is executed in compliance with 28 U.S.C. § 1746. Failure timely to comply with this order will result in dismissal

of this action, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b), for failure to prosecute.

The Clerk is ORDERED to mail the plaintiff a copy of the nonprisoner in forma pauperis affidavit along with this order.

IT IS SO ORDERED this /2 day of August, 2005.

DANIEL BREEN

JNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE



## **Notice of Distribution**

This notice confirms a copy of the document docketed as number 3 in case 2:05-CV-02432 was distributed by fax, mail, or direct printing on August 15, 2005 to the parties listed.

Johnny L. Triplett 5150 Steuben Drive Memphis, TN 38134

Honorable J. Breen US DISTRICT COURT